

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/600,400	01/02/2001	Rainer Buhler	4421-003 4845		
75	90 10/16/2002				
Lowe Hauptman Gopstein			EXAMINER		
Gilman & Berner Suite 310			CRANE, DANIEL C		
1700 Diagonal Road Alexandria, VA 22314-2848			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3725	<u> </u>	
			DATE MAILED: 10/16/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

······································		Application No.		Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		09/600,400	-	BUHLER ET AL.	ho				
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Daniel C Crane		3725					
	The MAILING DATE of this communica	i i	r sheet with the c		ess				
Period fo	• •								
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA insions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, how cation. ays, a reply within the statutory mire only period will apply and will expire by statute, cause the application to the statute.	ever, may a reply be tin nimum of thirty (30) day SIX (6) MONTHS from o become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>05 August 2002</u> .							
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This action is non-f	inal.						
3) <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice ion of Claims	or allowance except for for allowance except for for allowance except for for allowance except for allowance except for for allowance except for allowance except for allowance except for allowance except for for a	ormal matters, pr , 1935 C.D. 11, 4	osecution as to the n 53 O.G. 213.	nerits is				
-		nlication							
7)63	✓ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
•	☐ Claim(s)state anowed. ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,15-19,26 and 27 is/are rejected.								
	Claim(s) <u>1-4,70-15,25 and 21-15, and 15,556.</u> Is/are objected to.								
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction		ement.						
Applicat	ion Papers								
,—	The specification is objected to by the E								
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)								
	Applicant may not request that any object								
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed o			oved by the Examiner.					
_	If approved, corrected drawings are requi		ction.						
,	The oath or declaration is objected to by	y the Examiner.							
•	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	r foreign priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)	□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority do								
* ;	 Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internati See the attached detailed Office action f 	onal Bureau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).		age				
14) 🔲 /	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	domestic priority under 3	35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional ap	oplication).				
	a) The translation of the foreign langue Acknowledgment is made of a claim for								
Attachmer									
2) Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pape		Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Patent Application (PTO-1					

Application/Control Number: 09/600,400

Art Unit: 3725

CONTINUED PROSECUTION APPLICATION

Applicants have filed a Request for a Continued Prosecution Application on August 5, 2002 in response to the First Office Action, mailed February 5, 2002. This Office Action is in response to the Request. Applicants have failed to respond to the First Office Action and have failed to submit an Amendment for consideration for this Continued Prosecution Application.

NON-EXAMINED CLAIMS

Claims 5-14 and 20-25 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim.

See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claim have not been further treated on the merits.

BASIS FOR REJECTIONS

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3725

REJECTION OF CLAIMS OVER PRIOR ART

Claims1-4, 15, 16, 26 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Alain (French document no. 2,653,809). See Figures 1 and 4 where the dies 7, 8 are provided with an enlarged die part having a substantially U-shaped groove 10 where the metal can flow during the forging operation. The forging tool is shown at 6. Since the die has an identical shape as that shown by applicants, the dies 7, 8 have "means to alleviate stress to the workpiece during forging".

Claims 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alain (French document no. 2,653,809) in view of Camping (5,799,528). Alain illustrates the claimed invention as noted supra. Alain does not show that the forging dies 7, 8 have a relief channel. However, such is known as shown by Camping at 39 so as to positively grip the elongated workpiece. It would have been obvious to the skilled artisan at the time of the invention to have modified Alain's forging dies by further providing the dies with a relief channel as taught by Camping so as to effectively grip the workpiece, particularly where the workpiece is formed with relief channels about its periphery.

EXAMINER'S RESPONSE

Applicants have failed to respond to the first Office Action, mailed February 5, 2002. Accordingly, the finality of this Office Action is deemed proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/600,400

Art Unit: 3725

FINAL OFFICE ACTION

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

INQUIRIES

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner D. Crane whose telephone number is (703) 308-1870. The examiner's office hours are 6:30AM-5:00PM, Tuesday through Friday. The examiner's supervisor, Mr. Allen Ostrager, can be reached at (703) 308-3136.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

Documents related to the instant application may be submitted directly to Group 3700 by facsimile transmission at all times. Applicant(s) is(are) reminded to clearly mark any

Application/Control Number: 09/600,400

Art Unit: 3725

transmission as "DRAFT" if it is not to be considered as an official response. The Group 3725

Facsimile Center number is (703) 305-3579.

DCCrane October 11, 2002 Daniel C. Crane

Primary Patent Examiner

Page 5

Group Art Unit 3725